

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/955,169	09/19/2001	Keisuke Yoshikuni	Q66293	9425	
7	590 04/01/2004		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			WALLS, DIONNE A		
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
wasnington, L	JC - 2005 /-3213		1731		

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-					A
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	,
Office Action Summary		09/955,16	9	YOSHIKUNI ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		Dionne A.		1731	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by serply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eve n. a reply within the statu eriod will apply and wil statute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a tory minimum of thi I expire SIX (6) MOI cation to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status					
,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc	This action is no owance except	on-final. for formal mat		s is
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are with Claim(s) <u>10-12</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ithdrawn from co			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) the drawing(s) b prrection is require	e held in abeya ed if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in a ents have been e 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage	
2) Notice 3) Infor	n t(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/955,169

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of the Restriction Requirement mailed on October 23, 2003 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the scope of the search is identical and no added issues are involved. This is not found persuasive because a materially different apparatus could be used to practice the elected method/process – and examination of such would require a different search and, thus, would be burdensome for the Examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Art in view of JP 8-277132.

Applicant admits, in the instant specification, that a method of manufacturing a glass gob is known where an amount of a molten glass is poured into molding dies, and then the movable portion of each molding die is moved down at a speed higher than the flowing speed of the molten glass. In this way, each molten glass flow is cut into separated portions, so that an amount of molten glass is left on each molding die, and a gradual cooling may be performed to produce glass gobs having various shapes (see

Application/Control Number: 09/955,169

Art Unit: 1731

page 2). While Applicant may not admit that it is known to then spray the molten glass with a gas in order to form a glass gob under such a condition that the molten glass is floated, JP 8-277132 discloses a glass forming mold process wherein small holes are provided in the glass molding die in order to supply inert gas or air to the glass gob which enables it to be "slightly" floated (see English abstract and mechanical translation). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide for holes to blow inert gas or air through the die molds, in such manner, so as to prevent the surface of the glass gob from contacting the molding die surface in order to avoid cracks, dirt, etc. on the face of the glass gob – as taught in JP 8-277132.

Regarding claim 2, it follows that the spraying step would commence in the claimed amount of time after the glass gob has been received in the molding die in order to ensure defects on the face of the molded glass article.

Regarding claims 4-7, these limitations are not deemed to impart patentable distinction since the parameters of the glass and the steps following the receiving of the glass gob are conventional in the glass molding process.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 10-12 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on January 20th, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/955,169

Art Unit: 1731

- Applicant argues that the JP 8-277132 reference does not disclose the claimed "receiving step" or "remaining step", or the reduction (variation) of the gas flow rate. However, the Examiner wishes to point out the she has not presented the JP reference to teach the "receiving" or "remaining" steps. These steps, she believes, have already been admitted by Applicant in the instant specification on page 2. The only thing that Applicant did not admit, was the spraying of the deposited molten glass with gas in order to provide said glass in a "floated" position. For this reason, the JP reference was introduced to show that one having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to spray the molten glass received in the molding die with a stream of gas, so that it "floated" upon being deposited, in order to prevent dirt, cracks, flaws from forming in the cooled glass product. Lastly, Applicant has not recited a requirement, in claims 1-7, that the "flow rate of the gas is reduced in the receiving step", as this step was optional, and is only now required in new claims 10-12. Therefore, the prior art rejection of claims 1-7 over Applicant's Admitted Art in view of JP 8-277132 is still considered proper, and is maintained.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 1731

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dionne A. Walls whose telephone number is (571) 272-1195. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7AM - 4:30PM (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P. Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dionne A. Walls Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731